# ON THE DIOPHANTINE EQUATION

$$(x^3-1)/(x-1) = (y^n-1)/(y-1)$$

#### MAOHUA LE

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove that the equation  $(x^3-1)/(x-1)=(y^n-1)/(y-1)$ ,  $x,y,n\in\mathbb{N},\ x>1,\ y>1,\ n>3$ , has only the solutions (x,y,n)=(5,2,5) and (90,2,13) with y is a prime power. The proof depends on some new results concerning the upper bounds for the number of solutions of the generalized Ramanujan-Nagell equations.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}$  be the sets of integers, positive integers and rational numbers respectively. For any positive integer N with N > 2, let s(N) denote the number of solutions (x, m) of the equation

(1) 
$$N = \frac{x^m - 1}{x - 1}, \quad x, m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad x > 1, \quad m > 2.$$

Eightly years ago, Ratat [13] and Goormaghtigh [4] observed that s(31) = 2 and s(8191) = 2, respectively. Simultaneously, they conjectured that if  $N \notin \{31, 8191\}$ , then  $s(N) \leq 1$ . The problem can be written in the following form

Conjecture A. The equation

$$(2) \qquad \frac{x^{m}-1}{x-1}=\frac{y^{n}-1}{y-1}, \quad x,y,m,n\in \mathbb{N}, \quad x>1, \quad y>1, \quad n>m>2,$$

has only the solutions (x, y, m, n) = (5, 2, 3, 5) and (90, 2, 3, 13).

In addition, Bateman (see [5, Problem B25]) asked the following problem.

**Problem B.** Is (x, y, m, n) = (5, 2, 3, 5) the only solution of (2) for which x, y and  $(x^m - 1)/(x - 1)$  are all prime powers?

These are two rather difficult questions. By the results of Baker, Davenport, Lewis, Schinzel, Shorey and Tijdeman (see the references of [14]), we see that (2) has only finitely many solutions (x, y, m, n) if any two out of the four variables x, y, m and n are fixed. However, to this day we do not know whether (2) has only finitely many solutions (x, y, m, n) if any one out of x, y, m and n is fixed.

In this paper we discuss the finiteness of solutions of (2) by the means of the generalized Ramanujan-Nagell equations. Let  $D_1, D_2$  be coprime positive integers,

Received by the editors February 28, 1996 and, in revised form, September 18, 1996 and January 22, 1997.

 $<sup>1991\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ Primary\ 11D61,\ 11J86.$ 

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and the Guangdong Provincial Natural Science Foundation.

1064 MAOHUA LE

and let p be a prime with  $p \nmid D_1D_2$ . Further let  $N(D_1, D_2, p)$  and  $N'(D_1, D_2, p)$  denote the numbers of the solutions (r, s) and (r', s') of equations

$$(3) D_1 r^2 + D_2 = p^s, r, s \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

(4) 
$$D_1 r'^2 + D_2 = 2p^{s'}, \quad r', s' \in \mathbb{N},$$

respectively. In this paper we prove the following two general results.

**Theorem 1.**  $N(D_1, D_2, p) \le 2$  except for N(1, 7, 2) = 5 and N(3, 5, 2) = 3.

**Theorem 2.** If  $2 \nmid D_1D_2$  and p is an odd prime, then  $N'(D_1, D_2, p) < 2$ .

On applying the above-mentioned theorems to the equation (2), we shall deduce the following result.

**Corollary.** The equation (2) has only the solutions (x, y, m, n) = (5, 2, 3, 5) and (90, 2, 3, 13) such that m = 3 and y is a prime power.

# 2. Proof of Theorem 1

First we may assume that  $D_1$  is squarefree. In [1] and [2], Apéry proved that  $N(1,D_2,p)\leq 2$  except for N(1,7,2)=5. In [7] and [8], the author proved that  $N(D_1,1,p)\leq 2$  and  $N(D_1,D_2,2)\leq 2$  except for N(1,7,2)=5 and N(3,5,2)=3, respectively. Therefore, we may assume that  $D_1>1$ ,  $D_2>1$  and p is an odd prime.

**Lemma 1** ([10, Formula 3.76]). For any positive integer t and any complex numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we have

$$\alpha^t + \beta^t = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor t/2 \rfloor} (-1)^i \begin{bmatrix} t \\ i \end{bmatrix} (\alpha + \beta)^{t-2i} (\alpha \beta)^i,$$

where [t/2] is the largest integer which does not exceed t/2,

$$\begin{bmatrix} t \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(t-i-1)!t}{(t-2i)!i!}, \qquad i = 0, 1, \dots, \left[ \frac{t}{2} \right],$$

are positive integers.

**Lemma 2** ([9, Lemma 4]). If the equation

(5) 
$$D_1 X^2 + D_2 Y^2 = p^z$$
,  $X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $gcd(X, Y) = 1$ ,  $Z > 0$ ,

has solutions (X, Y, Z), then it has a unique solution  $(X_1, Y_1, Z_1)$  such that  $X_1 > 0$ ,  $Y_1 > 0$  and  $Z_1 \leq Z$ , where Z runs through all solutions (X, Y, Z) of (5).  $(X_1, Y_1, Z_1)$  is called the least solution of (5). Further, every solution (X, Y, Z) of (5) can be expressed as

$$Z = Z_1 t, \ X \sqrt{D_1} + Y \sqrt{-D_2} = \lambda_1 (X_1 \sqrt{D_1} + \lambda_2 Y_1 \sqrt{-D_2})^t,$$
$$t \in \mathbb{N}, 2 \nmid t, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \{-1, 1\}.$$

**Lemma 3.** If  $N(D_1, D_2, p) > 2$ , then (3) has two solutions  $(r_1, s_1)$  and  $(r_2, s_2)$  such that

(6) 
$$s_1 = Z_1 t_1, \quad s_2 = Z_1 t_2, \quad 1 < t_1 < t_2,$$

where  $(X_1,Y_1,Z_1)$  is the least solution of (5),  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  are odd primes satisfying

(7) 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{(t_j-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} t_j \\ i \end{bmatrix} (-4D_2)^{(t_j-1)/2-i} p^{Z_1 i} = (-1)^{(t_j-1)(p^{Z_1})/4}, \qquad j = 1, 2,$$

(8) 
$$\frac{\pi}{2\arcsin(D_2/p^{s_1})^{1/2}} < t_2$$

and

(9) 
$$\left| \arcsin \left( \frac{D_2}{p^{Z_1}} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{k\pi}{t_j} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2t_j p^{Z_1(t_j - 1)/2}}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where k is a positive integer satisfying  $k \leq (t_j - 1)/2$ .

*Proof.* We see that if (3) has a solution (r, s), then (5) has a solution (X, Y, Z) = (r, 1, s). It follows from Lemma 2 that the least solution  $(X_1, Y_1, Z_1)$  of (5) satisfies  $Y_1 = 1$ . Then  $(r, s) = (X_1, Z_1)$  is a solution of (3). Further, let

(10) 
$$\varepsilon = X_1 \sqrt{D_1} + \sqrt{-D_2}, \quad \overline{\varepsilon} = X_1 \sqrt{D_1} - \sqrt{-D_2}.$$

By the proof of [9, Theorem 1], if (r, s) is a solution of (3) with  $(r, s) \neq (X_1, Z_1)$ , then there exists a positive integer t satisfying t > 1,  $2 \nmid t$ ,

$$(11) s = Z_1 t$$

and

(12) 
$$\left| \frac{\varepsilon^t - \overline{\varepsilon}^t}{\varepsilon - \overline{\varepsilon}} \right| = 1.$$

Therefore, by (11), if  $N(D_1, D_2, p) > 2$ , then (3) has two solutions  $(r_1, s_1)$  and  $(r_2, s_2)$  satisfying (6). Moreover, we may assume that (3) has no solutions (r, s) satisfying

$$(13) Z_1 < s < s_1, \quad s_1 < s < s_2.$$

Since  $\varepsilon - \overline{\varepsilon} = 2\sqrt{-D_2}$  and  $\varepsilon \overline{\varepsilon} = p^{Z_1}$ , by Lemma 1, we get from (12) that

(14)

$$\frac{\varepsilon^t - \overline{\varepsilon}^t}{\varepsilon - \overline{\varepsilon}} = \sum_{i=0}^{(t-1)/2} (-1)^i \begin{bmatrix} t \\ i \end{bmatrix} (\varepsilon - \overline{\varepsilon})^{t-2i-1} (-\varepsilon \overline{\varepsilon})^i = \sum_{i=0}^{(t-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} t \\ i \end{bmatrix} (-4D_2)^{(t-1)/2-i} p^{Z_1}$$
$$= \pm 1.$$

Since  $t = (-1)^{(t-1)/2} \pmod{4}$  and  $p^{Z_1} \equiv (-1)^{(p^{Z_1}-1)/2} \pmod{4}$ , we obtain (7) from (14).

For any positive integer t with  $2 \nmid t$ , let

(15) 
$$X_t = \left| \frac{\varepsilon^t + \overline{\varepsilon}^t}{2\sqrt{D_1}} \right|, \quad Y_t = \left| \frac{\varepsilon^t - \overline{\varepsilon}^t}{\varepsilon - \overline{\varepsilon}} \right|.$$

By Lemma 1,  $X_t$  and  $Y_t$  are positive integers satisfying

$$(16) D_1 X_t^2 + D_2 Y_t^2 = p^{Z_1 t}.$$

Further, by Lemma 2, we see from (16) that (r, s) is a solution of (3) satisfying (11) if and only if  $Y_t = 1$ . From (7), we get

$$(17) Y_{t_1} = Y_{t_2} = 1.$$

If  $t_1$  is not an odd prime, then  $t_1 = k_1 k_2$ , where  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are positive integers satisfying  $k_1 > 1$ ,  $k_2 > 1$  and  $2 \nmid k_1 k_2$ . On applying Lemma 1, we find from (17) that

(18)

$$1 = Y_{t_1} = Y_{k_1} \left| \frac{(\varepsilon^{k_1})^{k_2} - (\overline{\varepsilon}^{k_1})^{k_2}}{\varepsilon^{k_1} - \overline{\varepsilon}^{k_1}} \right| = Y_{k_1} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{(k_2-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} k_2 \\ i \end{bmatrix} (-4D_2 Y_{k_1}^2)^{(k_2-1)/2 - i} p^{Z_1 k_1 i} \right|.$$

Hence, we get from (18) that  $Y_{k_1} = Y_{t_1}/Y_{k_1} = 1$ . It implies that (3) has a solution  $(r,s) = (X_{k_1}, Z_1k_1)$  satisfying  $Z_1 < s < s_1$ , which contradicts (13). Thus  $t_1$  must be an odd prime.

By the same argument, if  $t_2$  is not an odd prime, then from (13) we get  $t_2 = t_1^2$  and  $Y_{t_2}/Y_{t_1} = 1$ . In this case, by Lemma 1, we have

(19) 
$$\frac{Y_{t_2}}{Y_{t_1}} = \left| \frac{\varepsilon^{t_1^2} - \overline{\varepsilon}^{t_1^2}}{\varepsilon^{t_1} - \overline{\varepsilon}^{t_1}} \right| = \left| \sum_{i=0}^{(t_1 - 1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} (-4D_2)^{(t_1 - 1)/2 - i} p^{Z_1 t_1 i} \right| = 1.$$

Since  $(-1)^{(t_1-1)(p^{z_1}+1)/4} = (-1)^{(t_1-1)(p^{z_1t_1}+1)/4}$ , we get from (19) that

(20) 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{(t_1-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} (-4D_2)^{(t_1-1)/2-i} p^{z_1 t_1 i} = (-1)^{(t_1-1)(p^{z_1}+1)/4}.$$

Further, since  $t_1 \geq 3$ , we see from (20) that

(21) 
$$(-4D_2)^{(t_1-1)/2} - (-1)^{(t_1-1)(p^{Z_1}+1)/4} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{3Z_1}}.$$

On the other hand, by (7), we have

(22) 
$$\left( (-4D_2)^{(t_1-1)/2} - (-1)^{(t_1-1)(p^{Z_1}+1)/4} \right) + \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} (-4D_2)^{(t_1-3)/2} p^{Z_1}$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} (-4D_2)^{(t_1-5)/2} p^{2Z_1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{3Z_1}}.$$

The combination of (21) and (22) yields

(23) 
$$t_1 \left( 4D_2 - \left( \frac{t_1 - 3}{2} \right) p^{Z_1} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2Z_1}}.$$

Since  $p \nmid D_2$  and  $t_1$  is an odd prime, (23) is impossible. Thus  $t_2$  is an odd prime too.

By (10), we have

(24) 
$$\varepsilon = p^{Z_1/2} e^{\theta \sqrt{-1}}, \quad \overline{\varepsilon} = p^{Z_1/2} e^{-\theta/\sqrt{-1}},$$

where  $\theta$  is a real number satisfying

(25) 
$$\sin \theta = \frac{\varepsilon - \overline{\varepsilon}}{2p^{Z_1/2}\sqrt{-1}} = \left(\frac{D_2}{p^{Z_1}}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Since  $0 < D_2/p^{Z_1} < 1$ , we may assume that  $\theta$  satisfies

$$(26) 0 < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Further, sine  $Y_{t_1} = Y_{t_2} = 1$  by (17), we get from (24) and (25) that

(27) 
$$\sin t_j \theta = (-1)^{(t_j - 1)(p^{Z_1} + 1)/4} \frac{\sin \theta}{p^{Z_1(t_j - 1)/2}}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Hence

(28) 
$$t_{j}\theta = k\pi + (-1)^{k+(t_{j}-1)(p^{Z_{1}}+1)/4}\phi_{j}, \qquad j = 1, 2,$$

where k is an integer,  $\phi_j(j=1,2)$  are positive numbers satisfying

(29) 
$$\sin \phi_j = \frac{\sin \theta}{p^{Z_1(t_j - 1)/2}}, \quad 0 < \phi_j < \frac{\pi}{2}, \qquad j = 1, 2.$$

Notice that  $0 < \theta < \pi/2$  by (26). Since  $0 < \phi_j < \theta < \pi/2$  (j = 1, 2) by (26) and (29), we see from (28) that k satisfies  $1 \le k \le (t_j - 1)/2$ . Thus, by (25), (28) and (29), we get

$$\begin{vmatrix} \arcsin\left(\frac{D_2}{p^{Z_1}}\right)^{1/2} - \frac{k\pi}{t_j} \end{vmatrix} = \left|\theta - \frac{k\pi}{t_j}\right| = \frac{\phi_j}{t_j} = \frac{\arcsin((\sin\theta)/p^{Z_1(t_j-1)/2})}{t_j}$$

$$< \frac{\arcsin(p^{-Z_1(t_j-1)/2}}{t_j} < \frac{\pi}{2t_i p^{Z_1(t_j-1)/2}}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

The inequality (9) is proved.

From (25) and (27), we get

(30) 
$$|\sin t_1 \theta| = \left(\frac{D_2}{p^{s_1}}\right)^{1/2}, \quad |\sin t_2 \theta| = \left(\frac{D_2}{p^{s_2}}\right)^{1/2}.$$

By (30), there exist suitable nonnegative integers  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  such that

(31) 
$$|k_1\pi - t_1\theta| = \arcsin\left(\frac{D_2}{p^{s_1}}\right)^{1/2}, \quad |k_2\pi - t_2\theta| = \arcsin\left(\frac{D_2}{p^{s_2}}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Since  $s_1 < s_2$  and  $\arcsin(D_2/p^{s_1})^{1/2} > \arcsin(D_2/p^{s_2})^{1/2}$ , we get from (31) that (32)

$$0 < \left| \frac{k_1}{t_1} - \frac{k_2}{t_2} \right| \pi \le \frac{1}{t_1} \arcsin \left( \frac{D_2}{p^{s_1}} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{t_2} \arcsin \left( \frac{D_2}{p^{s_2}} \right)^{1/2} < \frac{2}{t_1} \arcsin \left( \frac{D_2}{p^{s_1}} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Notice that  $|k_1/t_1 - k_2/t_2| \ge 1/t_1t_2$  if  $k_1/t_1 \ne k_2/t_2$ . We obtain (8) from (32). The lemma is proved.

**Lemma 4.** If (3) has two solutions  $(r_1, s_1)$  and  $(r_2, s_2)$  satisfying (6) with  $t_1 = 3$ , then we have

(33) 
$$4D_2 = 3p^{Z_1} + (-1)^{(p^{Z_1-1})/2}$$

and

$$(34) t_2 \ge 2p^{2Z_1} + 3.$$

*Proof.* Since  $t_1 = 3$ , we get (33) from (7). Let  $\delta = (-1)^{(p^{Z_1}+1)/2}$ . We get from (17) and (33) that

$$(-3p^{Z_1} + \delta)^{(t_2 - 1)/2} + t_2(-3p^{Z_1} + \delta)^{(t_2 - 3)/2}(3p^{Z_1}) \equiv \delta^{(t_2 - 1)/2} \pmod{p^{2Z_1}},$$

whence we obtain  $(t_2 - 3)/2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{Z_1}}$ . By (7) again, we get

(35)

$$-\left(\frac{t_2-3}{2}\right) + \left(9\left(\frac{(t_2-1)/2}{2}\right) - 3\begin{bmatrix} t_2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{(t_2-3)/2}{1}\right) + \begin{bmatrix} t_2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}\right) \delta p^{Z_1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2Z_1}}.$$

Notice that

$$\binom{(t_2-1)/2}{2} \equiv \binom{(t_2-3)/2}{1} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} t_2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{t_2-3}{2\lambda}},$$

where  $\lambda = 1$  or 2 according to whether  $t_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  or not. We find from (35) that  $(t_2 - 3)/2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{2Z_1}}$ . Since  $t_2 > 3$ , it implies (34). The lemma is proved.

Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic number of degree d with conjugates  $\sigma_1 \alpha, \sigma_2 \alpha, \dots, \alpha_d \alpha$  and the minimal polynomial

$$a_0 z^d + a_1 z^{d-1} + \dots + a_d = a_0 \prod_{i=1}^d (z - \sigma_i \alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}[z], \quad a_0 > 0.$$

Then

$$h(\alpha) = \frac{1}{d} \left( \log a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d \log \max(1, |\sigma_i \alpha|) \right)$$

is called the logarithmic absolute height of  $\alpha$ .

**Lemma 5** ([6, Théorème 3]). Let  $\alpha$  be an algebraic number with  $|\alpha| = 1$ . Let  $b_1, b_2$  be positive integers, and let  $\Lambda = b_1 \log \alpha - b_2 \pi \sqrt{-1}$ . If  $\alpha$  is not a root of unity, then we have

$$\log |\Lambda| \ge -8.87AB^2,$$

where  $A = \max(20, 10.98|\log \alpha| + rh(\alpha))$ ,  $B = \max(17, r^{1/2/10}, 5.03 + 2.35r + r\log(b_1/68.9 + b_2/2A))$ ,  $r = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha): \mathbb{Q}]/2$ .

**Lemma 6.** If (3) has two solutions  $(r_1, s_1)$  and  $(r_2, s_2)$  satisfying (6), then we have

(36) 
$$t_2 < 2 + 2563.43 \left( 1 + \frac{10.98\pi}{\log p^{Z_1/2}} \right).$$

*Proof.* Under the assumption, we get from (15) and (17) that

$$(37) 2p^{Z_1/2} > 2D_2^{1/2} |\varepsilon - \overline{\varepsilon}| = |\varepsilon^{t_2} - \overline{\varepsilon}^{t_2}|.$$

Let  $\alpha = \varepsilon/\overline{\varepsilon}$ . Then from (37) we get

(38) 
$$\log 2p^{Z_1/2} > \log |\overline{\varepsilon}^{t_2}| + \log |\alpha^{t_2} - 1| = t_2 \log p^{Z_1/2} + \log |\alpha^{t_2} - 1|.$$

Since  $t_2 \geq 5$ , if  $|\alpha^{t_2} - 1| \geq 1$ , then (38) is impossible. Therefore, we have

(39) 
$$|\alpha^{t_2} - 1| \ge |t_2 \log \alpha - k\pi \sqrt{-1}|$$

for some positive integers k with  $k \le t_2$ . Let  $\Lambda = t_2 \log \alpha - k\pi \sqrt{-1}$ . From (38) and (39), we get

(40) 
$$\log 2p^{Z_1/2} - \log |\Lambda| > t_2 \log p^{Z_1/2}.$$

We see from (10) that  $\alpha$  satisfies

$$p^{Z_1}\alpha^2 - 2(D_1X_1^2 - D_2)\alpha + p^{Z_1} = 0.$$

It implies that  $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha):\mathbb{Q}]=2$ ,  $h(\alpha)=\log p^{Z_1/2}$  and  $\alpha$  is not a root of unity. Further, by (24) and (26), we have  $|\alpha|=1$  and  $|\log\alpha|=2\theta<\pi$ . Since  $k\leq t_2$ , by Lemma 5, we get

$$\log |\Lambda| \ge -8.87(10.98\pi + \log p^{Z_1/2})$$

$$\times \left( \max \left( 17, 7.38 + \log \left( \frac{t_2}{68.9} + \frac{t_2}{2(10.98\pi + \log p^{Z_1/2})} \right) \right) \right)^2.$$

If

(42) 
$$7.38 + \log\left(\frac{t_2}{68.9} + \frac{t_2}{2(10.98\pi + \log p^{Z_1/2})}\right) \ge 17,$$

then we have

$$\log 0.0288015t_2 \ge 9.62$$
,

whence we conclude that

$$(43) t_2 > 523063.$$

On the other hand, by (40), (41) and (42), we get

(44)

$$3 + 565.8842(7.38 + \log 0.0288015t_2)^2 > 1 + \frac{\log 2}{\log p^{Z_1/2}} + 8.87 \left(1 + \frac{10.98\pi}{\log p^{Z_1/2}}\right)$$
$$\left(7.38 + \log\left(\frac{t_2}{68.9} + \frac{t_2}{2(10.98\pi + \log p^{Z_1/2})}\right)\right)^2 > t_2.$$

We calculate from (44) that  $t_2 < 150000$ . It contradicts with (43). So we have

(45) 
$$7.38 + \log\left(\frac{t_2}{68.9} + \frac{t_2}{2(10.98\pi + \log p^{Z_1/2})}\right) < 17.$$

Thus, by (40), (41) and (45), we obtain (36). The lemma is proved.

Lemma 7 ([3]). The equation

$$X^3 + X^2Y - 2XY^2 - Y^3 = 1, \quad X, Y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

has only the solutions (X,Y) = (1,0), (0,-1), (-1,1), (2,-1), (-1,2), (5,4), (4,-9) and (-9,5).

Proof of Theorem 1. We now suppose that  $N(D_1, D_2, p) > 2$ . By Lemma 3, then (3) has two solutions  $(r_1, s_1)$  and  $(r_2, s_2)$  satisfying (6).

By Lemmas 4 and 6, if  $t_1 = 3$ , then we have

(46) 
$$2p^{2Z_1} + 3 \le t_2 < 2 + 2563.43 \left( 1 + \frac{10.98\pi}{\log p^{Z_1/2}} \right),$$

whence we conclude that  $p^{Z_1} \leq 137$ . Recall that  $D_1 > 1$ ,  $D_2 > 1$  and  $D_1$  is square free. By (33), we only need to consider the cases  $(D_1, D_2, p^{Z_1}) = (2, 5, 7)$ , (2,7,9), (3,8,11), (3,10,13), (5,14,19), (6,17,23), (6,19,25), (7,20,27), (7,22,29),(2,23,31), (10,31,41), (11,32,43), (3,35,47), (3,37,49), (13,40,53), (15,44,59), (15,46,61), (17,50,67), (2,53,71), (2,55,73), (5,59,79), (5,61,81), (21,62,83), (22,67,89), (6,73,97),

(26,77,103), (3,80,107), (3,82,109), (7,85,113), (30,91,121), (31,94,125), (2,95,127), (33,98,131) and (34,103,137). On the other hand, by (9) and (33), we get

(47) 
$$\left| \arcsin \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{(-1)^{(p^{Z_1} - 1)/2}}{4p^{Z_1}} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{k\pi}{t_2} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2t_2 p^{Z_1(t_2 - 1)/2}}$$

for some positive integers k with  $k \leq (t_2 - 1)/2$ . However, by (46), (47) is false for the above-mentioned cases.

If  $t_1 = 5$ , then from (7) we get

$$16D_2^2 - 20D_2p^{Z_1} + 5p^{2Z_1} = 1.$$

Since  $p^{Z_1}$  is an odd prime power, we see from (48) that  $4D_2 \equiv \lambda \pmod{p^{Z_1}}$ , where  $\lambda \in \{-1,1\}$ . So we have  $4D_2 = kp^{Z_1} + \lambda$ , where k = 1 or 3. Hence, by (48), we get  $p^{Z_1}(k^2 - 5k + 5) = (5 - 2k)\lambda$ .

This implies that  $p^{Z_1} = 3$ . Since  $D_2$  is an integer with  $D_2 > 1$ , this is impossible. If  $t_1 = 7$ , then we have

$$(49) \qquad (2p^{Z_1} - 4D_2)^3 + (2p^{Z_1} - 4D_2)^2 p^{Z_1} - 2(2p^{Z_1} - 4D_2)p^{2Z_1} - p^{3Z_1} = \pm 1$$

by (7). However, by Lemma 7, (49) is impossible.

From (8) and (36), if  $t_1 > 7$ , then we have  $t_1 \ge 11$  and

$$p^{5Z_1} \le p^{Z_1(t_1-1)/2} < \left(\frac{p^{Z_1t_1}}{D_2}\right)^{1/2} < \frac{\pi}{2\arcsin(D_2/p^{Z_1t_1})^{1/2}} < t_2$$

$$< 2 + 2563.42\left(1 + \frac{10.98\pi}{\log p^{Z_1/2}}\right),$$

whence we calculate that  $p^{Z_1} \leq 9$ . Since, if  $(D_1, D_2, p^{Z_1}) = (2, 5, 7)$  or (2, 7, 9), then  $t_1 = 3$ . So we only need to consider the cases  $(D_1, D_2, p^{Z_1}) = (2, 3, 5)$ , (3, 2, 5), (3, 4, 7), (5, 2, 7), (5, 4, 9) and (7, 2, 9). For the above-mentioned cases, (7) is false if  $t_1 = 11$  or 13. So we have  $t_1 \geq 17$ . Then, by (8) and (36) again, we get

$$390625 \le p^{8Z_1} \le p^{Z_1(t_1 - 1)/2} < t_2 < 2 + 2563.42 \left( 1 + \frac{10.98\pi}{\log 5^{1/2}} \right) < 112451,$$

a contradiction. All cases have been considered, the proof is complete.

#### 3. Proof of Theorem 2

By the same method as in the proofs of Lemmas 2, 3, 4 and 6, we can prove the corresponding lemmas about the equation (4) without any difficulty.

Lemma 8. If the equation

(50) 
$$D_1 X'^2 + D_2 Y'^2 = 2v^{Z'}, \quad X', Y', Z' \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \gcd(X', Y') = 1, \quad Z' > 0,$$

has solutions (X',Y',Z'), then it has a unique solution  $(X'_1,Y'_1,Z'_1)$  such that  $X'_1 > 0$ ,  $Y'_1 > 0$  and  $Z'_1 \leq Z'$ , where Z' runs through all solutions (X',Y',Z') of (50).  $(X'_1,Y'_1,Z'_1)$  is called the least solution of (50). Moreover, every solution (X',Y',Z') of (50) can be expressed as

$$Z' = Z_1't', \frac{X'\sqrt{D_1} + Y'\sqrt{-D_2}}{\sqrt{2}} = \lambda_1 \left(\frac{X_1'\sqrt{D_1} + \lambda_2 Y_1'\sqrt{-D_2}}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{t^0},$$
$$t' \in \mathbb{N}, \ 2 \nmid t', \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \{-1, 1\}.$$

**Lemma 9.** The equation (4) has solutions (r', s') if and only if (50) has solutions (X', Y', Z') and its least solution  $(X'_1, Y'_1, Z'_1)$  satisfies  $Y'_1 = 1$ . Moreover, if  $N'(D_1, D_2, p) > 2$ , then (4) has two solutions  $(r'_1, s'_1)$  and  $(r'_2, s'_2)$  such that

(51) 
$$s_1' = Z_1't_1', \quad s_2' = Z_1't_2', \quad 1 < t_1' < t_2',$$

where  $t'_1$  and  $t'_2$  are odd primes satisfying

(52) 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{(t_j'-1)/2} \begin{bmatrix} t_j' \\ i \end{bmatrix} (-2D_2)^{(t_j'-1)/2-i} p^{Z_1'i} = -(-1)^{(t_j'-1)(p^{Z_1'}+1)/4}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

(53) 
$$\frac{\pi}{2\arcsin(D_2/2p^{s_1'})^{1/2}} < t_2'$$

and

(54) 
$$\left| \arcsin \left( \frac{D_2}{2p^{Z_1'}} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{k\pi}{t_j'} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2t_j' p^{Z_1'(t_j'-1)/2}}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where k is a positive integer satisfying  $k \leq (t'_i - 1)/2$ .

**Lemma 10.** If (4) has two solutions  $(r'_1, s'_1)$  and  $(r'_2, s'_2)$  satisfying (51) with  $t'_1 = 3$ , then we have

$$(55) 2D_2 = 3p^{Z_1'} + (-1)^{(p^{Z_1'} + 1)/2}$$

and

$$(56) t_2' \ge 2p^{2Z_1'} + 3.$$

**Lemma 11.** If (4) has two solutions  $(r'_1, s'_1)$  and  $(r'_2, s'_2)$  satisfying (51), then we have

(57) 
$$t_2' < 3 + 2563.43 \left( 1 + \frac{10.98\pi}{\log p^{Z_1'/2}} \right).$$

Proof of Theorem 2. We now suppose that  $N'(D_1, D_2, p) > 2$ . By Lemma 9, then (4) has two solutions  $(r'_1, s'_1)$  and  $(r'_2, s'_2)$  satisfying (51).

By Lemmas 10 and 11, if  $t'_1 = 3$ , then we have

(58) 
$$2p^{2Z_1'} + 3 \le t_2' < 3 + 2563.43 \left( 1 + \frac{10.98\pi}{\log p^{Z_1'/2}} \right),$$

whence we calculate that  $p^{Z'_1} \leq 137$ . Hence, by (55), we only need to consider the cases  $(D_1, D_2, p^{Z'_1})$  such that  $p^{Z'_1} \leq 137$  and  $2D_2 = 3p^{Z'_1} + (-1)^{(p^{Z'_1}+1)/2}$ . On the other hand, by (54) and (55), we get

(59) 
$$\left| \arcsin \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{(-1)^{(p^{Z_1'} + 1)/2}}{4p^{Z_1'}} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{k\pi}{t_2'} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2t_2' p^{Z_1'(t_2' - 1)/2}}$$

for some positive integers k with  $k \leq (t'_2 - 1)/2$ . However, for the above-mentioned cases, if  $t'_2$  satisfies (58), then (59) is impossible.

If  $t'_1 = 5$ , then from (52) we get

$$4D_2^2 - 10D_2p^{Z_1'} + 5p^{2Z_1'} = -1.$$

It implies that

$$(4D_2 - 5p^{Z_1'})^2 - 5p^{2Z_1'} = -4.$$

For any nonnegative integer m, let  $F_m$  and  $L_m$  denote the mth Fibonacci number and the mth Lucas number, respectively. Notice that all solutions (X,Y) of the equation

$$X^{2} - 5Y^{2} = -4$$
,  $X, Y \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $gcd(X, Y) = 1$ 

are given by  $(X,Y) = (L_{6l}, F_{6l+1})$  and  $(L_{6l+5}, F_{6l+5})$ , where l runs through all nonnegative integers. We see from (60) that

(61) 
$$(D_2, p^{Z_1'}) = (\frac{1}{2}L_{6l}, F_{6l+1}) \text{ or } (\frac{1}{2}L_{6l+6}, F_{6l+5}).$$

On the other hand, by (53) and (57), we have

(62)

$$p^{2Z_1'} < \left(\frac{2p^{5Z_1'}}{D_2}\right)^{1/2} < \frac{\pi}{2\arcsin(D_2/2p^{5Z_1'})^{1/2}} < t_2' < 3 + 2563.43\left(1 + \frac{10.98\pi}{\log p^{Z_1'/2}}\right),$$

whence we calculate that  $p^{Z'_1} < 200$ . Therefore, we find from (61) that  $(D_1, D_2, p^{Z'_1}) = (1, 9, 5), (17, 9, 13)$  and (17, 161, 89). Further, by (54), we get

(63) 
$$\left| \arcsin \left( \frac{D_2}{2p^{Z_1'}} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{k\pi}{t_2'} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2t_2' p^{Z_1'(t_2'-1)/2}}$$

for some positive integers k with  $k \leq (t'_2 - 1)/2$ . However, if  $t'_2$  satisfies (62), then (63) is impossible.

If  $t_2' = 7$ , then from (52) we get

(64)

$$(2p^{Z_1'} - 2D_2)^3 + (2p^{Z_1'} - 2D_2)^2 p^{Z_1'} - 2(2p^{Z_1'} - 2D_2)p^{2Z_1'} - p^{3Z_1'} = (-1)^{(p^{Z_1'} + 1)^2}$$

By Lemma 7, we obtain from (64) that  $(D_1, D_2, p^{Z'_1}) = (7, 11, 9)$ . For this case, by (53), (54) and (57), we get

$$(65) 729 < t_2' < 83099$$

and

(66) 
$$\left| \arcsin \left( \frac{11}{18} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{k\pi}{t_2'} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2 \cdot 3^{t_2' - 1} t_2'}$$

for some positive integers k with  $k \leq (t'_2 - 1)/2$ . However, (66) is impossible if  $t'_2$  satisfies (65).

By (53) and (57), if  $t_1' > 7$ , then  $t_1' \ge 11$  and  $p^{Z_1'} \le 9$ . Except for the already considered cases, we have  $(D_1, D_2, p^{Z_1'}) = (5, 1, 3), (1, 9, 5), (9, 1, 5), (1, 13, 7), (5, 9, 7), (9, 5, 7), (11, 3, 7), (13, 1, 7), (11, 7, 9), (11, 7, 9), (13, 5, 9) or (17, 1, 9). For these cases, (52) is false when <math>t_1' = 11, 13, 17$  and 19. So we have  $t_1' \ge 23$ . Then, by (53) and (57) again, we get

$$\begin{split} 177147 &\leq p^{11Z_1'} \leq p^{Z_1'(t_1'-1)/2} < \frac{\pi}{2 \arcsin(D_2/2p^{s_1'})^{1/2}} < t_2' < 3 + 2563.43 \\ &\times \left(1 + \frac{10.98\pi}{\log 3^{1/2}}\right) < 153544, \end{split}$$

a contradiction. The theorem is proved.

# 4. Proof of the Corollary

Lemma 12 ([11]). The equation

$$z^2 + 4 = y^n$$
,  $x, y, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $2 \nmid y$ ,  $n > 3$ ,

has no solution (z, y, n).

*Proof of Corollary.* Let (x, y, m, n) be a solution of (2) such that m = 3 and y is a prime power. Then we have

(67) 
$$(y-1)(2x+1)^2 + (3y+1) = 4y^n, \quad n > 3.$$

If y = 2, then from (67) we get

(68) 
$$(2x+1)^2 + 7 = 2^{n+2}, \quad n > 3.$$

By [12], we find from (68) that (x, y, m, n) = (5, 2, 3, 5) and (90,2,3,13).

If  $y = 2^k$ , where k is a positive integer with k > 1, then

(69) 
$$(2^k - 1)(2x + 1)^2 + (3 \cdot 2^k + 1) = 2^{kn+2}, \quad n > 3.$$

Let  $D_1 = 2^k - 1$ ,  $D_2 = 3 \cdot 2^k + 1$  and p = 2. We see from (69) that (r, s) = (2x + 1, kn + 2) is a solution of (3) with s > 3k + 2. However, by [8], then (3) has exactly two solutions (r, s) = (1, k + 2) and  $(2^{k+1} + 1, 3k + 2)$ . Therefore, (69) is impossible.

If  $2 \nmid y$ , then  $y = p^k$ , where p is an odd prime and k is a positive integer. If y = 5, then from (67) we get

(70) 
$$(2x+1)^2 + 4 = 5^n, \quad n > 3.$$

By Lemma 12, (70) is impossible.

If  $y \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and y > 5, then we have

(71) 
$$\left(\frac{p^k - 1}{4}\right)(2x + 1)^2 + \left(\frac{3p^k + 1}{4}\right) = p^{kn}, \quad n > 3.$$

Let  $D_1 = (p^k - 1)/4$  and  $D_2 = (3p^k + 1)/4$ . We see from (71) that (r, s) = (2x + 1, kn) is a solution of (3) with s > 3k. Notice that (3) has two solutions (r, s) = (1, k) and  $(2p^k + 1, 3k)$  in this case. Therefore, by Theorem 1, (71) is impossible.

Similarly, if  $y \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then we have

(72) 
$$\left(\frac{p^k - 1}{2}\right)(2x + 1)^2 + \left(\frac{3p^k + 1}{2}\right) = 2p^{kn}, \quad n > 3.$$

Let  $D_1 = (p^k - 1)/2$  and  $D_2 = (3p^k + 1)/2$ . Since (4) has two solutions (r', s') = (1, k) and  $(2p^k + 1, 3k)$  in this case, by Theorem 2, (72) is impossible. Now, the corollary is proved.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is grateful to the referees for their valuable suggestions.

#### References

- R. Apéry, Sur une équation diophantienne, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 251 (1960), 1263– 1264. MR 22:10951
- [2] R. Apéry, Sur une équation diophantienne, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 251 (1960), 1451– 1452. MR 22:10950
- [3] V. I. Baulin, On an indeterminate equation of the third degree with least positive discriminant, Tul'sk Gos. Ped. Inst. Učen. Zap. Fiz. Mat. Nauk Vyp. 7 (1960), 138–170. (in Russian) MR 33:7298
- [4] R. Goormaghtigh, L'intermédiaire des Mathématiciens, 24 (1917), 88.
- [5] R. K. Guy, Unsolved Problems in Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981. MR 83k:10002
- [6] M. Laurent, M. Mignotte and Y. Nesterenko, Formes linéaires en deux logarithmes et déterminants d'interpolation, J. Number Theory, 55 (1995), 285–321. MR 96h:11073
- [7] M.-H. Le, The divisibility of the class number for a class of imaginary quadratic fields, Kexue Tongbao, 32 (1987), 724–727. (in Chinese) CMP 20:01
- [8] M.-H. Le, The diophantine equation  $D_1x^2 + D_2 = 2^{n+2}$ , Acta Arith., **64** (1993), 29–41. MR **94**:11030
- [9] M.-H. Le, A note on the generalized Ramanujan-Nagell equation, J. Number Theory, 50 (1995), 193–201. MR 96f:11051
- [10] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, Finite Fields, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1983. MR 86c:11106
- [11] T. Nagell, Contributions to the theory of a category of diophantine equations of the second degree with two unknowns, Nova Acta Soc. Sci. Upsal., (4) 16, no. 2, 38pp. (1955). MR 17:13b
- [12] T. Nagell, The diophantine equation  $x^2 + 7 = 2^n$ , Arkiv. Mat., 4 (1960), 185–187.
- [13] R. Ratat, L'Intermediaire des Mathématiciens, 23 (1916), 150.
- [14] T. N. Shorey, Some exponential diophantine equations, in Number Theory and Related Topics, pp. 217–229, Bombay, 1989. MR 98d:11038

Department of Mathematics, Zhanjiang Teachers College, Postal Code 524048, Zhanjiang, Guangdong, P. R. China